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Abstract: Because of the ever-growing number of applications that send numerous and potentially large XML files over networks there has been a recent interest in efficient updates of XML documents. However all known approaches deal with uncompressed documents. In this paper, we describe a novel XML compressor, XSAQCT designed to improve the efficiency of querying and updating XML documents with minimal decompression in a network environment.

1 Introduction

Although XML is now the de facto data standard for Web services, as well as for encoding semi-structured data, its verbose nature and the resulting large sizes of the underlying XML documents adversely affects various network-based XML services. One such service is remote XML storage and transmission of XML files to other nodes in the network (in particular, for devices with limited memory such as mobile devices and wireless sensors, which are becoming ubiquitous in today’s society). Furthermore, while querying large XML documents is a commonly-executed operation, the high execution time and memory requirements of query tools (e.g. XQuery [XQ008]) severely limits their usefulness for very large documents; similar issues exist for XML update operations. Therefore, improving the efficiency of XML storage and processing is a key research challenge. However, practically all existing approaches to update operations are limited to updates of uncompressed documents, which suffer from scalability issues for large XML documents.

In this paper, we describe XSAQCT (pronounced exact), which supports querying and updating XML documents using minimal decompression. We envision that XSAQCT will prove especially useful for mobile applications where client-side storage and CPU speed are limited. Less powerful, thin mobile clients can query or update potentially very large XML documents stored on a server without first completely downloading and decompressing the document (in this case, the processing is done on the server side).

The results described in this paper build directly on our recent work, based on intermediate representation of the XML structure in the form of an annotated tree, where each tree
node is labeled by an annotation representing partial information on document structure via an integer sequence (the entire annotated tree represents the structure of the complete XML document). Specifically, our approach entails: (1) encoding the document structure in an annotated tree; (2) storing the annotated tree and the document contents in separate containers; and (3) applying back-end compressors to the containers. We designed, implemented and tested a queryable XML compressor, called XSAQCT [MFMD09], that supports querying with lazy decompression. XSAQCT uses a single SAX pass of the input document and does not require building an in-memory representation of the document (such as a DOM tree). Consequently, our technique is applicable to processing very large XML documents and to streaming. We compared XSAQCT and TREECHOP [LMD05], the only other queryable XML compressor available for testing, on a standard XML corpus [W10]. Our findings demonstrated that XSAQCT achieves 50% to 80% higher compression ratio and, on average, 50% faster query time than TREECHOP. This improvement over TREECHOP did not sacrifice time efficiency as both compressors have a similar compression/decompression time.

The annotated tree is designed to support extensions of XSAQCT to include updates. In this paper, we describe such an extension, in which the basic scenario for updating a compressed XML document considers multiple insert and deletes operations, interleaved with querying. To avoid potentially costly (in terms of the CPU and memory) updates of the corresponding annotations, each annotated tree node has a list of pending update operations (referred to as pending lists).

Contributions: Our main contribution is to describe updates of compressed documents, with lazy decompression. We compared XSAQCT with its competitors and determined that out of the 32 different trials, XSAQCT achieved the best results, as it was placed first fourteen times, and it placed second fourteen times. QizX achieved the second best results, as it was placed first fourteen times and it placed second 10 times. Since on average there is a high ratio of retrievals to insertions/updates, and XSAQCT does not force a complete re-writing of the underlying document nor does it force complete decompression, it is an ideal candidate for networked environment requiring storage of XML documents.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes related work, and Section 3 introduces XSAQCT, and its applications to updating, querying, compressing, and decompression. Section 4 provides results of testing of our compressor, and compares these results with other existing XML compressors. Conclusions and future work are described in Section 5.

2 Related Work

Given XML-related performance issues, there has been interest in devising various XML compression schemes. In many instances it is not practical to decompress an entire XML file to execute an operation such as a query or an update and provide lazy decompression, i.e. decompress “as little as possible”. As far as query operations are concerned, recently there has been interest in queryable XML compressors that have the potential to improve
response time by operating on (partially) compressed data (e.g. XQueC, [ABC+03]). Because of space limitations, here we do not review this work. As far as update of XML documents is concerned, there are various XML updaters (mostly in the area of general databases or database engines), briefly reviewed below. Our review is focused on native XML databases rather than databases, which store XML documents as CLOBs. Since the current version of XSAQCT does not support optimization techniques, such as indexing or caching, here we do not review these techniques.

IBM DB2 pureXML [p10] treats XML as a first-class data type, and it stores XML documents intact in its native format as type-annotated trees [DB2]. XML documents can be compressed by a dictionary type compression technique, which replaces tag names with unique integer values [NdL05]. However, pureXML does not compress trees to a more concise format, similar to annotated trees in XSAQCT; nor does it compress XML data values. In conclusion, pureXML does not attempt to perform XML-conscious lazy de-compression for query and update operations.

Oracle Berkeley XML DB [Oa, Ob] stores various kinds of items in separate containers, such as documents, indices and index statistics, data dictionary and other system metadata. By default, all XML documents stored in a container are compressed (metadata and indexes are not compressed) and they are fully decompressed when they are retrieved from those containers. Internally, XML nodes are stored in a B-tree. Therefore, this database is not XML conscious.

eXist [Ea, Mei] is probably the most widely deployed native open source XML database. eXist stores the XML tree as a modified, number scheme based, k-ary tree combined with structural, range and spatial indexing based on B+-trees, and a cache used for database page buffers, but it does not compress the documents.

In BaseX, [B10, Sch] the XML tree is encoded and mapped in a simple table storing all of the node information. Processing time can then be improved by minimizing the table structure coupled with text, attribute, full-text (not default) and path indexing.

Sedna [Se] is a full-featured native XML database, in which nodes of an XML document are clustered together according to their positions in the descriptive schema of a document where direct pointers are used to represent relations between nodes of an XML document based on B-trees. It uses the numbering schema [AKNG06], in which the nodes of the documents are labeled with certain unique identifiers.

Finally, Qizx [QX] is a native XML database engine, designed to perform high-speed querying, retrieval and processing of indexed XML contents. Updates are not applied immediately as the updating expressions are accumulated to a pending update list. Documents and indexes are compressed, and the compression mechanism is completely transparent to users or applications. As a result, partial updates of documents are not fast, because Qizx needs to entirely rebuild an updated document (but only once per transaction).
3 XSAQCT

For the sake of completeness, in Section 3.1 we briefly recall the description of the previous version of XSAQCT that supported querying with lazy decompression (for more details see [MFMD09]), and then in Section 3.2 we describe updates. Note that the annotated tree representation is the internal representation used by our implementation and it is not visible to the user, who operates on XML documents as if they were uncompressed. In particular, the user will use standard XPath expressions to query and specify parts of the document, which are to be updated.

3.1 Basic Architecture of XSAQCT

Given a document $D$, we perform a single SAX traversal of $D$ to encode it, thereby creating an annotated tree $T_{A,D}$, in which all similar paths are merged into a single path and each node is annotated with a sequence of integers; see Figure 1. Two absolute paths are called similar if they are identical, possibly with the exception of the last component, which is the data value. For example, the paths /a/b/t1 and /a/b/t2 are similar while the paths /a/b/t1 and /a/c/t1 are not. Every similar path is given an annotation and these represent a count of the number of nodes and the positions of nodes in $D$. In Figure 1, the first node $b$ has 0 e’s as a child, the second $b$ also has 0 e’s, and the third $b$ has 2 e’s as children, this is represented as e having the annotation 0,0,2. Note that $T_{A,D}$ provides a faithful but succinct representation of the structure of the input document $D$. Indeed, our tests performed on the files from the commonly-used Wratislavia corpus confirmed the succinctness of this representation.

During parsing, data values are written to the appropriate data containers. Next, $T_{A,D}$ is compressed by first writing its annotations to one container and the skeleton tree $T_D$ (with annotations stripped) to one or more containers. Finally, all containers are compressed, using back-end compressors, and written to create the compressor’s output $C_D$. The main back-end compressors used include GZIP [gzi], BZIP2 [bzi] and PAQ8 [paq] but the user can add more compressors. The main reason behind using an annotated tree representation is that it can be used to answer various queries and (as explained in the next section) to efficiently implement updates.
3.2 Updates in XSAQCT

In Section 3.2.1, we describe update operations as seen by the user, and in Section 3.2.2 we describe the implementation of these operations. Due to space considerations, specific algorithms are not described.

3.2.1 Updates in XSAQCT: User perspective

At the present time, we support the following basic update operations on the document D. If a node n needs to be specified by the user, this is done using XPath syntax.

- insert a document $D_1$ as a child of the node $n$ of $D$ at position $i$ (the value of $i$ equal to 0 represents inserting as the leftmost child, while the value of $i$ equal to the number of children of $n$ plus one represents inserting as the rightmost child)
- delete a sub-document $D_1$ of $D$ rooted at the node $n$
- insert a new text node as a child of the node $n$ of $D$ at position $i$ - possibly merging with sibling text nodes
- delete the existing text node specified by the path
- insert a set of new attributes of the node $n$ of $D$
- flush the pending list and update the actual XML document

The final version of our system will support all update operators (such as move a sub-document), following the proposed W3C Update Facility [XQ08]; most of these operations can be easily implemented using the above basic operations.

The above basic operations are supported via the following three basic functions:

1. Boolean insertNode(path p, string nname, int pos), which inserts an element with the name nname as a child of the node p at the position pos. If the operation is successful the node will be inserted in the Annotated Tree. Inserting a document $D_1$ is supported by traversing $D_1$ top-down, and applying insertNode().

2. Boolean removeNode(path p), which removes the node n at path p and all of the child nodes of the node at p. The entire sub-document rooted at n will be removed from the Annotated Tree.

3. void flush(), which flushes all pending lists

The above three basic update operations will be referred to as high-level XML update operations; these operations as well as the query operations are implemented via low-level update operations referred to as AU-operations, described in Section 3.2.2. XSAQCT supports two modes of operation: (1) with undoing of operations (like in XQuery Updates);
and (2) without undoing, in which two operations appearing in the pending list may “cancel each other”, for example inserting some node followed by removing the same node.

In addition to the update operations, there are various query operations. Currently, only simple queries have been implemented (specifically, we have implemented absolute paths). A query, which ends in an element, can be immediately answered using the annotated tree, and therefore it only requires a decompression of this tree. Now, consider a query, which calls for text values for a given path. As mentioned earlier, the compressor creates a separate container storing all values for similar paths. Therefore, to answer this type of a query it is enough to decompress a single data container, and then return the text values stored in this container.

In the following section we describe the implementation of XML updates. In this section, as well as in Section 4, where we present results of our tests, we use the following abbreviations: I stands for insert, R for removing a node, Q for query (here, “*” means all nodes, and text() to find the text values), T for adding a new text, and X for removing text.

3.2.2 Updates in XSAQCT: Implementation perspective

Update operations result in modifications of annotations, which may be costly (e.g. inserting or removing a single item from an annotation sequence). Therefore, our approach supports lazy updates, implemented by attaching to each annotated tree node a list of pending update operations (referred to as pending lists). Updates in the pending list will only be applied when a threshold is reached (the value of threshold will be determined experimentally) or when the user explicitly requests such operation; at that time, the pending list is flushed. The (working) state of the pending list is defined as a sequence $op_1, op_2, \ldots, op_n$ where every $op_i$ is an AU-operation. The list is called clean if it contains no operations; otherwise it’s called dirty. If a list is clean, then a modification makes it dirty; and if the list is dirty then the flush operation makes it clean. Adding and removing text also makes changes to a pending list. This allows text to be inserted and deleted as a child of some node $n$, without needing to decompress n’s text containers. The changes to text are not written until the user initiates a flush. Note that a query operation can be initiated without a flush of any pending lists.

Low-level update operations are implemented via so-called boolean AU-operations, which are appended to the appropriate pending list: insertValue(int value, int position), which inserts a value at position; deleteValue(int position), which deletes a value at position; and modifyValue(int position, int amount) which modifies the value at position by incrementing it with the value of amount (note that this value may be positive or negative). In addition, to support queries, there is an operation getValue(int position). This operation will not be stored in the pending list; rather it is implemented by traversing the pending list backwards and then using the appropriate annotation sequence.

Example.

Consider an XML document $D$ of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle a \rangle & \langle b \rangle \langle d \rangle t_1 \langle /d \rangle \langle /b \rangle
\end{align*}
\]
Applications and Impacts

for which the corresponding document tree is shown as Figure 2a. The three remaining trees shown in the same figure show the user perspective of executing high level update operations; specifically: Figure 2b shows the result of executing insertNode(/a/b[1], d, 1). Figure 2c shows the result of executing insertNode(/a, b, 2). Figure 2d shows the result of executing insertTextNode(/a/b[1]/d[2], t5).

Figure 2: Updates of an XML document D: user perspective

Figure 3 shows the changes in the annotated tree $T_{A,D}$ representing updates of D; specifically: Figure 3a shows the original annotated tree. Figure 3b shows the annotated tree with pending lists after executing insertNode(/a/b[1], d, 1). Figure 3c shows the resulting annotated tree and pending lists after executing insertNode(/a, b, 2). Figure 3d shows the annotated tree with pending lists after the execution of insertTextNode(/a/b[1]/d[2], t5).

Note that after a few insertions or deletions it may not be beneficial to write to a file, but in general it is a “space versus time” trade-off: writes are costly in terms of time but preserve space). It is as if the design had two layers, the top layer is not concerned with issues such as “removed compressed annotations create a hole in a file”, while the bottom layer is concerned with usage of the compressed file (which is maintained in a way similar to heap management). This description does not provide details of the lower layer.

In the next section, we provide the results of tests performed on XSAQCT and some related XML updaters.
4 Results of Tests

Because of the on-going nature of our project and the fact that currently it does not support indexing or any kind of caching, fair comparisons of query and update times is very difficult. Instead, we conducted various preliminary tests on several open source applications (including Exist [Ea], BaseX [B10], QizX [QX], Sedna [Se], Oracle [Ob] and our XSAQCT) to assess some sort of benchmark. When dealing with any kind of performance comparison for XML compressors, one compares their application with others, using a specific set of input documents. In this paper, for our experiment we use the file uwm.xml (of the size 2.2M) from the Wratislavia XML corpus [W10]. In total, we performed 32 operations, shown in Table 1, where in each row the best updaters’ time is shown in bold face, and the second best result is shown in italics. To remove cache interference, tests were performed five times, with the application being restarted after every trial, and we computed the average result. Out of the 32 different trials, XSAQCT achieved the best results, as it placed first fourteen times, and it placed second fourteen times. QizX achieved the second best results, as it placed first fourteen times and it placed second 10 times. Oracle was placed first four times, and second 10 times.

5 Conclusions and Future Work

For the proposed framework to be generally applicable, it is paramount that storage considerations are addressed. Storing the compressed document as a single file in a standard file system has several weaknesses; for example querying may require storing offsets within a
file to retrieve the required compressed container; and flushing the pending list may require re-writing the entire file. Therefore, we will investigate storing the compressed document through a specially-designed layer, implemented using either a file system or a database (as appropriate, based on experimentation). We will also investigate compressing pending lists.

In addition, we will investigate adding versioning of compressed XML documents, where switching between different versions will not require full data decompression. When a user decides that a series of updates form a new version, the pending list will be assigned this version’s number. Any subsequent updates will then be included in the successive version.

Table 1: Results of the tests (time in milliseconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation/Updater</th>
<th>Exist</th>
<th>BaseX</th>
<th>QizX</th>
<th>Sedna</th>
<th>Oracle</th>
<th>XSAQCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;I, /root, course_listing, 0&gt;</td>
<td>184.8</td>
<td>115.99</td>
<td>1629.8</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>186.8</td>
<td>12.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;I, /root/course_listing[1], course[1], 0&gt;</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>1071.6</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]&gt;</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;T, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1], “alpha”&gt;</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>989.4</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;R, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]&gt;</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;R, /root/course_listing[1]/note&gt;</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>122.24</td>
<td>1405.6</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>8.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>158.8</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[2]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/title/text()&gt;</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;I, /root/course_listing[1], course, 0&gt;</td>
<td>167.8</td>
<td>114.33</td>
<td>1346.4</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;T, /root/course_listing[1]/course, “216XXX”&gt;</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>913.6</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;X, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]&gt;</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>879.2</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;T, /root/course_listing[1]/course, “216TM”)&gt;</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>21.46</td>
<td>900.8</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[2]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>93.27</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>989.8</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>315.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;R, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>117.44</td>
<td>1621.2</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>53.09</td>
<td>25.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>197.8</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[4]/section_listing[2]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/section[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>90.58</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>626.2</td>
<td>79.17</td>
<td>269.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing[1]/section[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;I, /root, course, 0&gt;</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>1043.4</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>181.41</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;I, /root/course_listing[1], course, 0&gt;</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>1032.6</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Q, /root/course_listing/course[1]/text()&gt;</td>
<td>690.8</td>
<td>83.19</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>535.8</td>
<td>79.96</td>
<td>184.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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