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Abstract

Email remains a key source of evidence during a digital investigation. The forensics examiner may be required to triage and analyse large email data sets for evidence. Current practice utilises tools and techniques that require a manual trawl through such data, which is a time-consuming process. Recent research has focused on speeding up analysis through the use of data visualization and the quantitative analysis of emails, for example, by analysing actor relationships identified through this medium. However, these approaches are unable to analyse the qualitative content, or narrative, of the emails themselves to provide a much richer picture of the evidence. This paper posits a novel approach which combines both quantitative and qualitative analysis of emails using data visualization to elucidate qualitative information for the forensics examiner. In this way, the examiner is able to triage large volumes of emails to identify actor relationships as well as their network narrative. In order to demonstrate the applicability of this methodology, this paper applies it to a case study of email data.
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1. Introduction

With the rapid development of technological applications, users are sophisticated consumers and increasingly demand larger data storage. This large amount of data adds complexity to a digital investigation because it must be triaged and searched for evidence relevant to the case. For example, in one investigation, police officers analysed 100,000 indecent images of children and 10,000 emails for the prosecution of a paedophile ring involving four individuals (BBC, 2012). This is extremely time consuming because current practice utilises tools and techniques that require manual analysis of email files.

Email data differs from other file types in that it may elucidate quantitative and qualitative information to the forensics examiner. Recent research in this area has focused on the quantitative analysis of emails, for example, by analysing actor relationships identified through this medium. However, these approaches are unable to analyse the qualitative content, or narrative, of the emails themselves to provide a
much richer picture of the evidence. This paper therefore posits TagSNet, a novel approach which combines both quantitative and qualitative analysis of emails using data visualization. As will be demonstrated by the case study, the examiner is able to triage large volumes of emails to identify actor relationships as well as their network narrative. In this way, they will be able to prioritize their search for potential evidence relevant to the investigation.

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 discusses related work. Section 3 posits TagSNet for the triage of quantitative and qualitative email data. Section 4 presents the results of applying the methodology to a case study. Finally, we make our conclusions in section 5 and discuss further work.

2. Related work

Due to the complexity and volume of data available today, there is much interest in data visualization of narratives outside the digital forensics domain. For example, Segel & Heer (2010) and Hullman & Diakopoulos (2011) propose visualization approaches using data produced by media organisations for conveying rhetoric, for example, political discussions in news stories. These approaches posit design strategies for visualization and interpretation of narratives. Fisher et al (2008) posit Narratives, an approach to visualize key words over time. This approach visualizes a sequence(s) of key words as a series of related line graphs. They suggest that this approach could be used for tracking items or actors of interest in news items and over time. Dou et al (2012) posit LeadLine, a tool to automatically detect events in news items and social media as well as support their exploration through visualization.

Other visualization approaches extend their analysis beyond Web data. For example, Nair et al (2011) posit how a patient’s data may be better represented to clinicians by using documents to produce patient ‘stories’. Wang et al (2011) posit a methodology for the analysis of large textual documents. This approach focuses on a central event and then analyses the relationship between this and other events. Ungar et al (2011) propose IntentFinder, a tool for the analysis and representation of data which attempts to link document and narrative information with a subject’s social networks. What these approaches have in common is that they are not designed for forensics investigations, for example, by only allowing data to be mounted in read-only mode.

Commonly used computer forensic tools, such as Forensic Toolkit (FTK) (Access Data, 2013) and EnCase (Guidance Software, 2013) are used for the analysis of email clients on a suspect’s computer. Whilst these tools provide a means for analysis of storage media, email data must be read manually. These applications provide a robust forensic analysis, however they are not designed to perform automated retrieval and analysis of potential qualitative evidence relating to social networks and email content. Moreover, they do not enable visualization of potentially large email data sets.

The advantages of using data visualization for large data sets have led to such approaches in digital forensics being posited. For example, Schrenk & Poisel (2011)
discuss the requirements for visualization in digital investigations due to the volume of data that must be searched. Whilst they do not posit a single approach, they discuss methodologies for a range of visual exploration, such as time-related and email data. Osborne et al (2012) focus on visualizations to support the investigatory process rather than data to identify evidence per se. Jankun-Kelly et al (2009) posit an approach to investigate a range of documents, including Webcache files and email. This approach focuses on visualization of textual data rather than relationships between actors. Palomo et al (2011) focus on the visualization of network traffic through self-organising maps to identify anomalous behaviour or system intrusions. However, this approach focuses on the identification and visualization of network artefacts, such as source ports, destination addresses, protocols, etc. rather than social interactions between actors.

Other approaches to data visualization in digital forensics have focused on email as a potential source of evidence. For example, Haggerty et al (2011) use the Enron email corpus as a case study to propose a method for the triage and analysis of actors within an email network. Henseler (2010), who also uses the Enron data set, suggests an approach for filtering large email collections during an investigation based on statistical and visualisation techniques. Wiil et al (2010) provide an analysis of the 9/11 hijackers’ network and focus on the relationships between these actors. This study uses a number of measures associated with social network analysis to identify key nodes. However, these approaches only focus on the quantitative analysis of actor relationships rather than the qualitative information within the emails themselves. There is therefore a requirement for combining both quantitative and qualitative data during an investigation to not only visualize the actors involved, but also to analyse what is being discussed, i.e. the network narrative.

3. Overview of TagSNet

As suggested in sections 1 and 2, the key challenges to computer forensics email investigations are: the volume of data, evidence identification, relevant social network identification and visual representation of evidence. This section posits the TagSNet tool for visualization of quantitative and qualitative email data to meet these challenges and triage evidence.

Currently, there is no accepted definition of the term 'network narrative'. In related literature, a network comprises a set of actors and the relations between them and the network itself. A narrative is the discourse in relation to network events or effects. We therefore define 'network narrative' as the discourse with regard to a set of actors, their relationships and events pertaining to them. Identifying the network narrative allows us to assess the impact of endogenous and exogenous events of interest on the network(s) and content discovered during an investigation.

In order to analyse the network narrative in this context, the authors have developed TagSNet (Tag cloud and Social Networks), to visualise the quantitative and qualitative data in emails. This software extends the Matrixify (Haggerty & Haggerty, 2011) temporal social network analysis tool. Actor relationship information is conveyed through social network diagrams and the content of the
emails through TagSNet. These visualisations are not aimed at answering questions per se, but to enable a forensics examiner to triage email data more quickly than a manual trawl.

As discussed above, emails contain both quantitative and qualitative data. The quantitative data in emails refers to the social networks that they may elucidate. A social network is an interconnected group or system and the relations, both logical and physical, between the actors. There is a tendency to assume that just because actors are linked they must form a cohesive and positive social network. However, this is not necessarily the case and the relationships between network members must be explored to fully understand how these networks function (Haggerty et al, 2011). The network views in TagSNet are ego-centric in nature due to the source material, i.e. we do not know the relationships between actors beyond those identified in the suspect’s emails. The qualitative data refers to the content of the emails. Rather than reading individual emails to build up a picture of the discussions and themes in the network narrative, TagSNet identifies and quantifies the qualitative data. Through this data mining, key words are identified as they re-occur, thereby identifying the network narrative concerns.

These two elements combined provide a rich picture of the network events and relationships over time, including reactions to endogenous and exogenous events. Of interest to the forensics examiner are the following:

- Key actors
- Actor relationships in the network at specific times
- Key narratives in the network
- Change over time (e.g. pre- and post-criminal activity)
- The identification of further evidence sources or lines of enquiry in either quantitative or qualitative data

As with any investigation, the data must be acquired in a robust manner, ensuring that the evidence maintains its integrity. Therefore, emails are imported into TagSNet in read-only mode to avoid data modification. These email files are located in client-specific directories. For example, Mozilla Thunderbird stores email data in text format in mbox files under the following directories dependent on the operating system: C:\Documents and Settings\[UserName]\ApplicationData\Thunderbird\Profiles\ (Windows XP), ~/.thunderbird/xxxxxxx.default/ (Linux) and ~/Library/Thunderbird/Profiles/ xxxxxxxx.default/ (Mac OS X) (Haggerty et al, 2011).

As illustrated in figure 1, the software has, at its most basic level, three main areas of functionality; file reading and processing (data mining), visualization, and graphical output. These functional points are covered in more detail below.
The email files are processed in two ways; for social network information and textual analysis. The social networks elucidated by the email files are derived from the FROM, TO, and CC data in both messages sent to and received by the suspect. This data includes the search of forwarded messages located under the main message. As noted above, this view of the network is a suspect-centric snapshot, i.e. as we are analyzing the suspect’s computer, the social networks will be from the suspect’s point of view. Textual analysis is achieved by creating a dictionary of all words in the email file and then counting their occurrence. These results are made available to the visualization function as the basis for text sizing. It is posited that the occurrence of words (or lack of) suggests their concern to the network. As such, commonly occurring words, such as ‘the’, ‘a’, ‘to’, etc. are ignored during this process. These words provide a useful function in language but their commonality adds noise to the visualizations without adding to the network narrative analysis. However, this function could be extended to include a user-defined dictionary of words to include or exclude in a search.

The results of this data mining are passed to the two visualization functions. A social network graph is constructed from the data passed from the social network mining function. This graph-building element visualises actors as network nodes, identifies the actors and produces lines to represent relationships between them. A tag cloud is created from the textual analysis results to produce the narrative view of qualitative data. This view sizes words in the email text by frequency of occurrence and these are placed using a random layout. Various sensitivity levels, or thresholds, can be applied to the data, based on popularity of words, to reduce noise, and highlight key concerns within the text. These visualizations together form output in the form of a network narrative. Both these visualizations are interactive in that the forensics
examiner may move both actors and text around. This enhances the visualization by ensuring that the results can be explored and that the best layout can be chosen.

This section has provided an overview to the TagSNet approach for the analysis of network narratives in email data. In the next section, we demonstrate the applicability of the proposed approach for triaging evidence by applying it to email data from the Enron corpus.

4. Case study and results

Enron was a large energy company that employed thousands of workers across 40 countries. The Enron fraud resulted in the bankruptcy of the company and dissolution of a large accountancy and audit company. The main executives, such as the CEO Jeffrey Skilling, used a series of techniques to perpetrate the fraud, such as accountancy loopholes, employing special purpose entities and poor accountancy practices, in order to hide billions of dollars of debt that the company had accrued. The email corpus is available online at (EnronData.org, n.d.) and provides a useful test set for methodologies related to email data due to its size and complexity.

Three folders from the Skilling email account are used to illustrate the ability of TagSNet to triage data and prioritise searches. It should be noted that figures 2 to 4 demonstrate this triage process for the identification of potential evidence rather than to provide evidence of the fraud discussed above. Moreover, they do not provide measurements or layouts based on statistical measures of the network, such as centralities suggested in Haggerty et al (2011), as this is outside the scope of this paper. These email folders, Genie, Mark and Sent_Mail, are used for two reasons. First, they represent different aspects of Skilling’s email use; a specific set of correspondence related to a business event, personal correspondence with a family member and general business email traffic. This allows us to compare narratives in different contexts. Second, ranging from a small (10 actors, 930 words and 6KB mbox file) to large (515 actors, 50,198 words and 299KB mbox file) data set evaluates the impact of data scaling on the approach.

Emails from the Enron corpus are converted to Thunderbird mbox format to aid data mining. As discussed in section 3, email header data is used to generate network diagrams whilst the text of the emails, i.e. content, is used to generate the tag clouds, combining to form the network narrative. The two views in TagSNet are shown in different windows. However, for aesthetic purposes and comparison, the frames have been removed to focus on the network narrative in this paper. Due to the size of the mbox files, different levels of sensitivity to content data mining have been used. For example, in small files, such as Genie, it is possible to show all keywords. However, in larger files, this creates background noise. Therefore, thresholds of word re-occurrence are used to reduce the amount of information that is returned in the visualization. TagSNet allows the user to set the threshold level to provide the best aesthetic view without distorting the evidence.
Figure 2: Genie folder network narrative

Figure 2 illustrates the Genie folder network narrative. This network comprises 10 emails, 10 actors and 930 words. Network nodes are sized by occurrence. All words are included in the visualization and the size of the font indicates their reoccurrence in the mbox file. The words highlighted in this view include; ‘you’, ‘energy’, ‘invitation’, ‘participate’, ‘forum’, ‘October’, ‘attend’, ‘invited’, ‘policy’, ‘management’, ‘conference’ and ‘Washington’. Therefore, a qualitative analysis of the original emails suggests that this folder contains information that relates to the attendance at an energy forum in Washington organised by Skilling, and this is evident in the network narrative visualization.

Figure 3: Mark folder network narrative

Figure 3 illustrates the Mark folder network narrative. This network comprises 55 emails, 84 actors and 28,064 words sent from a relative of Skilling. To reduce noise in the tag cloud, only words that occur more than ten times are included. The personal nature of the content is illustrated by the dominance of ‘I’ and ‘my’ in the network narrative view. In addition, other general but personal words, such as ‘me’, ‘we’, ‘have’, etc. dominate the view. However, within the network view, ‘Istanbul’ and ‘Turkish’ also appear. Therefore, a qualitative analysis of the original emails
suggests that this a folder associated with personal messages from a family member, and there is some association with Turkey.

Figure 4: Sent_mail folder network narrative.

The Sent_Mail folder, as illustrated in figure 4, comprises 275 emails, 515 actors and 50,198 words. Again, to reduce noise, only words that occur more than 20 times are included in the tag cloud. This folder differs from the other two in that the content is far more general as they are emails related to Skilling’s day-to-day business dealings. Two names are immediately apparent in the tag cloud, Jeff Skilling and Sherri Sera (Skilling’s personal assistant) and this is supported by the network diagram. Indeed, many of the emails were sent by Sera on behalf of Skilling and were saved to this folder. This is illustrated by the prominence of her email address, ‘sherrisera@enron.com’ in the content as it appeared in the signature block. The use of words differs to those in the Mark folder in that they are obviously more related to business, for example, ‘enron’, ‘fax’, ‘business’, ‘information’, ‘executive’, ‘company’, ‘assistant’ and ‘message’. Also highlighted is a location, ‘Houston’, where the business had its headquarters. Moreover, two numbers are also identified; ‘7136468381’ and ‘7138535984’. These are the phone and fax numbers for Sera.

The three network narratives above quickly identify where to prioritise a manual trawl of emails for evidence using traditional forensic tools. In experiments, the average times to process and visualise the network narrative of the mbox files on a Windows 7 computer with a 2.5GHz Athlon Dual Core Processor and 4GB RAM were; Genie 0.5 secs, Mark 6.3 secs and Sent_Mail 9.4 secs. This is substantially quicker than manually reading the files to triage data. Given the personal nature of the Mark folder’s emails, we may place this as a low priority unless the family member was somehow implicated in the case. We could also discount the Genie folder’s emails, unless the case was related to the forum that took place in Washington. The highest priority would be the Sent_Mail folder for a number of reasons. First, it highlights the importance of Skilling’s personal assistant in his business activities and would indicate that her email account may provide relevant evidence to the investigation. Second, as the emails are associated with business dealings, it may identify other actors of interest in the network views. Third, it highlights further potential sources of evidence, such as the phone numbers that are used, and therefore call logs, which could be beneficial to the investigator. It should
be noted that in investigations involving emails, key words highlighted by the network narrative may be misleading as the actors involved may use codes. However, any unusual words would be highlighted in the visualizations and could be followed up in the manual analysis.

5. Conclusions and further work

Due to the amount of information email may provide to a forensics examiner, it remains a key source of evidence during a digital investigation. With our reliance on this medium, an examiner may be required to triage and analyse large email data sets. Current practice utilises tools and techniques that require a manual trawl through such data, which is a time-consuming process. Recent research has focused on data visualization to mitigate the effect of large data sets on an investigation. The approaches concerned with emails focus on the analysis of emails to identify social networks. However, these approaches are unable to analyse the qualitative, i.e. content (or narrative), of the emails themselves to provide a much richer picture of the evidence. This paper therefore posits a novel approach, TagSNet, which combines both quantitative (social networks) and qualitative (content) analysis of emails using data visualization that form the network narratives. As demonstrated by the case study, this approach can be used to triage data that may be of interest to the examiner to be followed up with manual searches for evidence specific to the case or to identify further sources of evidence. Further work aims to extend this approach to other media, such as online documents and social media.
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